Project Title: University of Maryland Course Evaluation Fall 2019

Number of Students Invited: **13**
Number of Evaluations Submitted: **7**
Response Rate: **54%**

Report Comments

This report presents feedback received from students for the course **INTRCTNG RBOT CNTRL DSGN** and for the Instructor **Xiaomin Lin** in that course. Course means are calculated from all responses by all students in the unit (i.e., course section or lecture) on that item and exclude N/A (not applicable) responses.

Indication is provided below for the Report Group if there is one affiliated with this course section, otherwise it is blank. The Report Group will be the lead section of a grouped course (i.e. multi-section lecture) and/or the primary of cross-listed courses. Results for the individual sections of the Report Group are available in the Instructor Subgroup Report.

Semester: 201908
College: A. James Clark School of Engineering
Department: ENGR-Electrical & Computer Engineering
Course #: ENEE408I
Section #: 0101
Course Title: INTRCTNG RBOT CNTRL DSGN
Report Group: 201908-ENEE408I-0101

Instructor: Xiaomin Lin

Creation Date: **Thursday, June 23, 2022**

blue®

Administrator University-Wide Teaching Assistant Items

Results are for use by teaching assistants, faculty/instructors, and for administrative purposes.
N/A responses have been excluded from the following calculations.

Feedback for TA: Xiaomin Lin

By Score

Scale is Strongly Disagree (0) to Strongly Agree (4) with a Neutral mid-point

Question	Course Mean
The teaching assistant (TA) treated students with respect.	3.9
The teaching assistant (TA) was well-prepared for class.	3.9
Overall, this teaching assistant (TA) was an effective teacher.	3.9

By Frequency

1. The teaching assistant (TA) treated students with respect.			
Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0%
Disagree	1	0	0%
Neutral	2	0	0%
Agree	3	1	14%
Strongly Agree	4	6	86%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			7
Mean			3.9
Standard Deviation			0.4

2. The teaching assistant (TA) was well-prepared for class.			
Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0%
Disagree	1	0	0%
Neutral	2	0	0%
Agree	3	1	14%
Strongly Agree	4	6	86%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			7
Mean			3.9
Standard Deviation			0.4

3. Overall, this teaching assistant (TA) was an effective teacher.			
Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0%
Disagree	1	0	0%
Neutral	2	0	0%
Agree	3	1	14%
Strongly Agree	4	6	86%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			7
Mean			3.9
Standard Deviation			0.4

Additional TA-related comments (e.g. about the discussion/lab/studio section, TA's teaching style, etc.)

Comments
thanks for coming overtime was extremely helpful, also appreciated the direction suggestions while we were wasting time on stupid ardino ideas, would have preferred if more resources from last class where given instead of just the final reports, like send all of there code and documentation and whatnot
Fantastic TA. Very helpful figuring out problems and allowing students to have access to the labs. Extremely approachable and got us pizza
Xiaomin is a helpful and patient TA.
Good TA. Xiaomin was excellent at responding to student concerns, and generally helping us out whenever we needed it, whether it was for extra lab time or trying to debug a process we were implementing. Without Xiaomin's help in these areas, we would not have completed the project.
TA was amazing. He was there for us during a lot outside class hours. Provided a lot of valuable feedback for our project. One of the Best TAs that I have had.

End of Report